

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK EXAMINER**

**Serial No.**.....10/789,201  
**Filing Date**..... Feb 27, 2004  
**First Named Inventor** .....Christopher W. Brumme  
**Assignee**..... Microsoft Corporation  
**Group Art Unit**..... 2191  
**Examiner**.....Phillip H Nguyen  
**Attorney's Docket No.**.....MS1-1771US  
**Title**.....Code Rewriting

**RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 5/16/07**

To: Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

From: Jason F. Lindh (Tel. 509-324-9256; Fax 509-323-8979)  
**Customer No. 22801**

**Brief Summary of Selected Substantive Portions of this Response**

**[0001]** In a telephone discussion (on July 26, 2007), the Examiner indicated that the proposed amendments likely overcame the cited art. Specifically, the Examiner indicated that the cited art does not teach that the rewrite list identifies which rewriter to apply to rewrite the code unit and provides the sequence the rewriters are applied to the code unit.

**[0002]** This brief summary is not intended to represent the Applicant's full response to the Action. Rather, it is merely a brief summary of selected substantive portions of the response herein.